## Prime Gamma Rings with Centralizing and Commuting Symmetric Bi derivations

C. Jaya Subba Reddy<sup>1</sup>, C. Venkata Sai Raghavendra Reddy<sup>2</sup> K. Nagesh<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Associate Professor, Department of Mathematics, S.V.University, Tirupati-517502, A.P., India.

<sup>2</sup>Amrita Vishwa Vidyapeetham, Bengaluru Campus, Bengaluru, Karnataka-560035, India. <sup>3</sup>Research Scholar, Rayalaseema University, Kurnool, Andhra Pradesh, India.

**Abstract:** Let *M* be a prime  $\Gamma$ -ring satisfying a certain assumption (*A*) and *D* a nonzero derivation on *M*. If  $D(.,.): MXM \to M$  is a symmetric bi derivation and *d* is trace of D on a left ideal *J* of *M* such that *d* is centralizing and commuting on *J*, then *M* is commutative.

Key words: Prime  $\Gamma$ -ring, Centralizing and Commuting, Derivation, Symmetric, Symmetric bi derivation, Bi additive mapping, Symmetric bi additive mapping, Trace.

**Preliminaries:** Let *M* and  $\Gamma$  be additive abelian groups. If there exists a mapping  $(x, \alpha, y) \rightarrow x\alpha y$  of  $M \times \Gamma \times M \rightarrow M$ , which satisfies the conditions

(i)  $x\alpha y \in M$ 

(ii)  $(x + y)\alpha z = x\alpha z + y\alpha z$ ,  $x(\alpha + \beta)z = x\alpha z + x\beta z$ ,  $x\alpha(y + z) = x\alpha y + x\alpha z$ 

(iii)  $(x\alpha y)\beta z = x\alpha(y\beta z)$  for all  $x, y, z \in M$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ , then M is called a  $\Gamma$ -ring.

Every ring *M* is a  $\Gamma$ -ring with  $M = \Gamma$ . However a  $\Gamma$ -ring need not be a ring. Let *M* be a  $\Gamma$ -ring. Then an additive subgroup *U* of *M* is called a left (right) ideal of *M* if  $M\Gamma U \subset U(U\Gamma M \subset U)$ . If *U* is both a left and a right ideal, then we say *U* is an ideal of *M*. Suppose again that *M* is a  $\Gamma$ -ring. Then *M* is said to be a 2-torsion free if 2x = 0 implies x = 0 for all  $x \in M$ . An ideal  $P_1$  of a  $\Gamma$ -ring *M* is said to be prime if for any ideals *A* and *B* of *M*,  $A\Gamma B \subseteq P_1$  implies  $A \subseteq P_1$  or  $B \subseteq P_1$ . An ideal  $P_2$  of a  $\Gamma$ -ring *M* is said to be semiprime if for any ideal *U* of *M*,  $U\Gamma U \subseteq P_2$  implies  $U \subseteq P_2$ . A  $\Gamma$ -ring *M* is said to be prime if  $a\Gamma M\Gamma b = (0)$  with  $a, b \in M$ , implies a = 0 or b = 0 and semiprime if  $a\Gamma M\Gamma a = (0)$  with  $a \in M$  implies a = 0. Furthermore, *M* is said to be commutative  $\Gamma$ -ring if xay = yax for all  $x, y \in M$  and  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ . Moreover, the set  $Z(M) = \{x \in M: xay = yax \text{ for all } y \in M \text{ and } \alpha \in \Gamma\}$  is called the centre of the  $\Gamma$ -ring *M*. If *M* is a  $\Gamma$ -ring, then  $[x, y]_{\alpha} = xay - yax$  is known as the commutator of x and y with respect to  $\alpha$ , where  $x, y \in M$  and  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ . We make the basic commutator identities:

 $[x\alpha y, z]_{\beta} = [x, z]_{\beta}\alpha y + x\alpha[y, z]_{\beta}$  and  $[x, y\alpha z]_{\beta} = [x, y]_{\beta}\alpha z + y\alpha[x, z]_{\beta}$ , for all  $x, y \in M$  and  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ .

We consider the following assumption:

(A)..... $x\alpha y\beta z = x\beta y\alpha z$ , for all  $x, y, z \in M$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ . An additive mapping  $D: M \to M$  is called a derivation if  $D(x\alpha y) = D(x)\alpha y + x\alpha D(y)$  holds for all  $x, y \in M$  and  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ . A mapping *d* is said to be commuting on a left ideal *J* of *M* if  $[d(x), x]_{\alpha} = 0$  for all  $x \in J$  and  $\alpha \in \Gamma$  and *d* is said to be centralizing if  $[d(x), x]_{\alpha} \in Z(M)$  for all  $x \in J$  and  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ . A mapping  $D(.,.): RXR \to R$  is called symmetric if D(x, y) = D(y, x), for all  $x, y \in R$ . A mapping  $d: R \to R$ 

defined by d(x) = D(x, x) is called the trace of *D*, where  $D(.,.):RXR \to R$  is a symmetric mapping. It is obvious that, if  $D(.,.):RXR \to R$  is a symmetric mapping which is also biadditive (i.e. additive in both arguments), then the trace *d* of *D* satisfies the relation d(x + y) =d(x) + d(y) + 2D(x, y), for all  $x, y \in R$ . If D(.,.) is bi-additive and satisfies the identities  $D(x\beta y, z) = D(x, z)\beta y + x\beta D(y, z)$  and  $D(x, y\beta z) = D(x, y)\beta z + y\beta D(x, z)$ , for all  $x, y, z \in$  $R, \beta \in \Gamma$ . Then D(.,.) is called a symmetric bi-derivation.

**Introduction:** The concept of the  $\Gamma$ -ring was first introduced by Nobusawa [15] and also shown that  $\Gamma$ -rings, more general than rings. Bernes [1] weakened slightly the conditions in the definition of  $\Gamma$ -ring in the sense of Nobusawa. Bresar [2] studied centralizing mappings and derivations in prime rings. Kyuno [10], Luh [11], [12], Hoque and Paul [5], [6] and others were obtained a large numbers of important basic properties of  $\Gamma$ -rings in various ways and determined some more remarkable results of  $\Gamma$ -rings. Ceven [3] studied on Jordan left derivations on completely prime  $\Gamma$ -rings. Mayne [14] have developed some remarkable result on prime rings with commuting and centralizing. Jaya Subba Reddy.C et.al [8] studied centralizing and commutating left generalized derivation on prime ring is commutative. The concept of a symmetric bi-derivation has been introduced by Maksa in [13]. J.Vukman [17],[18] investigated symmetric bi-derivations on prime and semiprime rings. Java Subba Reddy.C et.al[9] has studied some results on symmetric reverse bi-derivations on prime rings. M.S.Yenigul and N.Argac [16] studied few results on ideals and symmetric bi-derivations of prime and semiprime rings. Hoque and Paul[7] studied prime gamma rings with centralizing and commuting generalized derivations is a commutative. In this paper, we extended some results on prime gamma rings with centralizing and commuting symmetric bi derivation is a commutative.

## **Some preliminary results**

We have to make some use of the following well-known results:

**Remark 1:** Let *M* be a prime  $\Gamma$ -ring. If  $a\alpha b \in Z(M)$  with  $0 \neq a \in Z(M)$ , then  $b \in Z(M)$ .

**Remark 2:** Let *M* be a prime  $\Gamma$ -ring and *J* a nonzero left ideal of *M*. If *D* is a nonzero derivation on *M*, then *D* is also a nonzero on *J*.

**Remark 3:** Let *M* be a prime  $\Gamma$ -ring and *J* a nonzero left ideal of *M*. If *J* is commutative, then *M* is also commutative.

**Lemma 1:** Suppose *M* is a prime  $\Gamma$ -ring satisfying the assumption (*A*) and  $D(.,.):MXM \to M$  be a symmetric bi derivation. For an element  $a \in M$ , if  $a\alpha D(x, y) = 0$  for all  $x, y \in M$  and  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ , then either a = 0 or D = 0.

**Proof:** By our assumption,  $a\alpha D(x, y) = 0$ , for all  $x, y \in M$ ,  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ .

We replacing *x* by  $x\beta z$  in above equation then, we get

 $a\alpha D(x\beta z, y) = 0$ 

 $a\alpha (D(x, y)\beta z + x\beta D(z, y)) = 0$ 

 $a\alpha D(x, y)\beta z + a\alpha x\beta D(z, y) = 0$ 

 $a\alpha x\beta D(z, y) = 0$ , for all  $x, y, z \in M$ ,  $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ .

If *D* is nonzero, that is, if  $D(z, y) \neq 0$  for some  $z, y \in M$ , then by definition of prime  $\Gamma$ -ring, a = 0.

**Lemma 2:** Suppose *M* is a prime  $\Gamma$ -ring satisfying the assumption (*A*) and *J* a nonzero left ideal of *M*. If *M* has a symmetric bi derivation  $D(.,.): MXM \to M$  which is zero on *J*, then *D* is zero on *M*.

**Proof:** By the hypothesis, D(J, U) = 0.

Replacing J by  $M\Gamma J$ , we get

 $D(M\Gamma J, U) = 0.$ 

 $D(M, U)\Gamma J + M\Gamma D(J, U) = 0$ 

 $D(M, U)\Gamma J = 0.$ 

Hence by Lemma1, D must be zero, Since J is nonzero.

**Lemma 3**[7]: Suppose *M* is a prime  $\Gamma$ -ring satisfying the assumption (*A*) and *J* a nonzero left ideal of *M*. If *J* is commutative on *M*, then *M* is commutative.

**Lemma 4:** Suppose *M* is a prime  $\Gamma$ -ring and  $D(.,.): MXM \to M$  be an symmetric bi additive mapping with trace d of *D*. If *d* is centralizing on a left ideal *J* of *M*, then  $f(a) \in Z(M)$  for all  $a \in J \cup Z(M)$ .

**Proof:** *d* is a centralizing a on left ideal *J* of *M*, we have

 $[d(x), x]_{\alpha} \in Z(M)$  for all  $x \in J$  and  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ .

By linearization, we get

 $x, y \in J \Longrightarrow x + y \in J$ , for all  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ .

 $[d(x+y), x+y]_{\alpha} \in Z(M)$ 

 $[d(x) + d(y) + 2D(x, y), x + y]_{\alpha} \in Z(M)$ 

 $[d(x), x]_{\alpha} + [d(x), y]_{\alpha} + [d(y), x]_{\alpha} + [d(y), y]_{\alpha} + 2[D(x, y), x]_{\alpha} + 2[D(x, y), y]_{\alpha} \in Z(M)$   $[d(x), y]_{\alpha} + [d(y), x]_{\alpha} + 2[D(x, y), x]_{\alpha} + 2[D(x, y), y]_{\alpha} \in Z(M)$ If  $x \in Z(M)$ , then above equation, we get  $[d(y), x]_{\alpha} = 0, 2[D(x, y), x]_{\alpha} = 0$   $[d(x), y]_{\alpha} + 2[D(x, y), y]_{\alpha} \in Z(M)$ 

Replacing y by y + z in above equation, we get

$$\begin{aligned} [d(x), y + z]_{\alpha} + 2[D(x, y + z), y + z]_{\alpha} \in Z(M) \\ [d(x), y]_{\alpha} + [d(x), z]_{\alpha} + 2[D(x, y), y + z]_{\alpha} + 2[D(x, z), y + z]_{\alpha} \in Z(M) \\ [d(x), y]_{\alpha} + [d(x), z]_{\alpha} + 2[D(x, y), y]_{\alpha} + 2[D(x, y), z]_{\alpha} + 2[D(x, z), y]_{\alpha} + 2[D(x, z), z]_{\alpha} \in Z(M) \\ 2[D(x, z), y]_{\alpha} + 2[D(x, y), z]_{\alpha} \in Z(M). \end{aligned}$$
(1)

$$2[D(x,z),y]_{\alpha} + 2[D(x,y),z]_{\alpha} \in Z(M).$$

If  $Z \in Z(M)$ , then equation (1) becomes

$$2[D(x,z),y]_{\alpha} \in Z(M)$$

Replacing z by x in above equation, we get

$$2[D(x,x),y]_{\alpha}\in Z(M)$$

$$2[d(x), y]_{\alpha} \in Z(M)$$

$$[d(x), y]_{\alpha} \in Z(M).$$

Replacing y by  $d(x)\beta y$  in above equation, we get

$$[d(x), d(x)\beta y]_{\alpha} \in Z(M).$$

 $d(x)\beta[d(x),y]_{\alpha} + [d(x),d(x)]_{\alpha}\beta y \in Z(M).$ 

 $d(x)\beta[d(x), y]_{\alpha} \in Z(M)$ . If  $[d(x), y]_{\alpha} = 0$ .

Then  $d(x) \in C_{\Gamma M}(J)$ .

The centralizer of J in M and hence  $d(x) \in Z(M)$ . Otherwise, if  $[d(x), y]_{\alpha} \neq 0$ , remark 1 follows that  $d(x) \in Z(M)$ .

**Theorem 1:** Let *M* be a prime  $\Gamma$ -ring satisfying the assumption (*A*) and *D* a nonzero derivation on M. If  $D(.,.): MXM \to M$  is a symmetric bi derivation and d is trace of D on a left ideal J of *M* such that *d* is commuting on *I*, then *M* is commutative.

**Proof:** Since *d* is commuting on *J*, we have

 $[d(x), x]_{\alpha} = 0$ , for all  $x \in J$  and  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ .

Replacing x by x + y in above equation, we get

- $[d(x+y), x+y]_{\alpha} = 0$
- $[d(x) + d(y) + 2D(x,y), x + y]_\alpha = 0$

$$[d(x), x]_{\alpha} + [d(x), y]_{\alpha} + [d(y), x]_{\alpha} + [d(y), y]_{\alpha} + 2[D(x, y), x]_{\alpha} + 2[D(x, y), y]_{\alpha} = 0$$
  
$$[d(x), y]_{\alpha} + [d(y), x]_{\alpha} + 2[D(x, y), x]_{\alpha} + 2[D(x, y), y]_{\alpha} = 0.$$
 (2)

Replacing x by -x in equation (2), we get

$$[d(-x), y]_{\alpha} + [d(y), -x]_{\alpha} + 2 [D(-x, y), -x]_{\alpha} + 2[D(-x, y), y]_{\alpha} = 0$$
  
$$[d(x), y]_{\alpha} - [d(y), x]_{\alpha} + 2 [D(x, y), x]_{\alpha} - 2[D(x, y), y]_{\alpha} = 0.$$
 (3)

Adding equations (2) and (3), we get

$$[d(x), y]_{\alpha} + 2 [D(x, y), x]_{\alpha} = 0.$$
(4)

Replacing y by  $x\beta y$  in equation (4), we get

$$\begin{split} [d(x), x\beta y]_{\alpha} + 2 \ [D(x, x\beta y), x]_{\alpha} &= 0 \\ [d(x), x]_{\alpha}\beta y + x\beta \ [d(x), y]_{\alpha} + 2 \ [D(x, x)\beta y + x\beta D(x, y), x]_{\alpha} &= 0 \\ [d(x), x]_{\alpha}\beta y + x\beta \ [d(x), y]_{\alpha} + 2 \ [d(x)\beta y, x]_{\alpha} + 2 \ [x\beta \ D(x, y), x]_{\alpha} &= 0 \\ [d(x), x]_{\alpha}\beta y + x\beta \ [d(x), y]_{\alpha} + 2d(x)\beta \ [y, x]_{\alpha} + 2[d(x), x]_{\alpha}\beta y + 2x\beta \ [D(x, y), x]_{\alpha} + 2[x, x]_{\alpha}\beta D(x, y) &= 0 \\ x\beta \ [d(x), y]_{\alpha} + 2d(x)\beta \ [y, x]_{\alpha} + 2x\beta \ [D(x, y), x]_{\alpha} &= 0 \\ x\beta(\ [d(x), y]_{\alpha} + 2 \ [D(x, y), x]_{\alpha}) + 2d(x)\beta \ [y, x]_{\alpha} &= 0 \end{split}$$

Using equation (4) in above equation, we get

$$d(x)\beta[y,x]_{\alpha}=0$$

Replacing y by  $x\gamma r$  in above equation, we get

$$d(x)\beta[x\gamma r, x]_{\alpha} = 0$$
  
$$d(x)\beta x\gamma[r, x]_{\alpha} + d(x)\beta[x, x]_{\alpha}\gamma r = 0$$
  
$$d(x)\beta x\gamma[r, x]_{\alpha} = 0, \text{ for some } x \in J, r \in M, \text{ and } \alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \Gamma.$$

Since *M* is a prime  $\Gamma$ -ring d(x) = 0 or  $[r, x]_{\alpha} = 0$ .

$$\exists D(x,x)=0.$$

Since D is nonzero derivation on M, then by lemma 2, D is nonzero on J.

Suppose  $D(x, x) \neq 0$  for some  $x \in J$ , then  $x \in Z(M)$ .

Let  $z \in J$  with  $z \neq Z(M)$ . Then D(z, z) = 0 and  $x + z \notin Z(M)$ , that is, D(x + z) = 0 and so D(x, x) = 0, which is a contradiction. Thus  $z \in Z(M)$  for all  $z \in J$ . Hence *J* is commutative and lemma 3, we get *M* is commutative.

**Theorem 2:** Let *M* be a prime  $\Gamma$ -ring satisfying the assumption (*A*) and *J* a left ideal of *M* with  $J \cap Z(M) \neq 0$ . If  $D(.,.): MXM \to M$  is a symmetric bi derivation on trace *d* of *D*, such that *d* is commuting on *J*, then *M* is commutative.

**Proof:** We claim that,  $Z(M) \neq 0$  because of *d* is commuting on *J* and the proof is complete.

Now from equation (1), we get

 $2[D(x,z),y]_{\alpha} + 2[D(x,y),z]_{\alpha} \in Z(M)$ 

 $\Rightarrow [D(x,z),y]_{\alpha} + [D(x,y),z]_{\alpha} \in Z(M)$ 

We replace *y* by  $z\beta w$  with  $0 \neq z \in Z(M)$ , then we get

 $[D(x, z), z\beta w]_{\alpha} + [D(x, z\beta w), z]_{\alpha} \in Z(M)$ 

 $[D(x,z),z]_{\alpha}\beta w + z\beta [D(x,z),w]_{\alpha} + [D(x,z)\beta w + z\beta D(x,w),z]_{\alpha} \in Z(M)$ 

 $[D(x,z),z]_{\alpha}\beta w + z\beta[D(x,z),w]_{\alpha} + [D(x,z)\beta w,z]_{\alpha} + [z\beta D(x,w),z]_{\alpha} \in Z(M)$ 

Since  $z \in Z(M) \implies d$  is a centralizer on *J*.

 $z\beta[D(x,z),w]_{\alpha} \in Z(M).$ 

As z is nonzero, remark 1 follows that  $[D(x, z), w]_{\alpha} \in Z(M)$ . This implies that D is centralizing on J and hence we conclude that M is commutative.

## References

[1] Bernes.W.E: On the Γ-rings of Nobusawa, Pacific J. Math., Vol. 18,(1966),411-422.

- [2] Bresar.M: Centralizing Mappings and Derivations in Prime Rings, Journal of Algebra, Vol. 156,(1993), 385-394.
- [3] Ceven.Y: Jordan left derivations on completely prime gamma rings, C. U. Fen-Edebiyat Fakultesi, Fen Bilimleri Dergisi(2002)Cilt 23 Sayi 2.
- [4] Hoque.M.F and Paul.A.C: On Centralizers of Semiprime Gamma Rings, International Mathematical Forum, Vol. 6, 13(2011),627-638.
- [5] Hoque.M.F and Paul.A.C: An Equation Related to Centralizers in Semiprime Gamma Rings, Annals of Pure and Applied Mathematics, Vol. 1,1(2012),84-90.
- [6] Hoque.M.F and Paul.A.C: Centralizers on Semiprime Gamma Rings, Italian J. of Pure and Applied Mathematics, Vol. 30,(2013),289-302.

- [7] Hoque.M.F and Paul.A.C: Prime gamma rings with centralizing and commuting generalized Derivations, International Journal of Algebra, Vol.7,13(2013),645-651.
- [8] Jaya Subba Reddy.C, Mallikarjuna Rao.S and Vijaya Kumar.V: Centralizing and commuting left generalized derivations on prime rings, Bulletin of Mathematical Science and Applications, Vol.11, (2015), 1-3.
- [9] Jaya Subba Reddy.C et.al: Symmetric reverse bi-derivations on prime rings, Research J. Pharm. and Tech, Vol. 9, 9 (2016), 1496-1500.
- [10] Kyuno.S: On prime Gamma ring, Pacific J.Math., Vol. 75, (1978), 185-190.
- [11] Luh.J: On the theory of simple Gamma rings, Michigan Math.J, Vol. 16,(1969),65-75.
- [12] Luh.J: A note on commuting automorphism of rings, Amer. Math. Monthly, Vol. 77,(1970), 61-62.
- [13] Maksa.G: On the trace of symmetric bi-derivations, C.R. Math. Rep. Sci. Canada Vol. 9,(1987), 303-307.
- [14] Mayne.J: Centralizing automorphism of prime rings, Canad. Math. Bull.Vol.19,(1976), 113-115.
- [15] Nobusawa.N: On the Generalization of the Ring Theory, Osaka J.Math., Vol. 1,(1964),81-89.
- [16] Serif Yenigul.M, Argac Nurcan: Ideals and Symmetric bi-derivations of prime and semiprime rings, Math. J. Okayama Univ, Vol. 35, (1993), 189-192.
- [17] Vukman.J: Symmetric bi-derivations on prime and semi-prime rings, Aequations Math, Vol.38, (1989), 245-254.
- [18] Vukman.J: Centralizers in prime and semiprime rings, Comment. Math.Univ. Carolinae, Vol. 38,(1997),231-240.
- [19] Zalar.B: On centralizers of semiprime rings, Comment.Math. Univ. Carolinae, Vol. 32,(1991),609-614.